

THE FABER SHIELD COMMITTEE

NOTICE OF MOTION

**CLARIFICATION OF ACTION REGARDING MEMBERS PLAYING IN
JANUARY BUT NOT RENEWING MEMBERSHIP BY THE DUE DATE**

On Page 5 GENERAL RULES, Players' Eligibility to play and Penalties are clearly explained. However, action has been taken in the past on members who play in January and do not renew membership as required, but the procedure is not included in the Rules.

It is proposed to add the following clause under Penalties – *'These penalties also apply to games played in January each year by a member who then does not renew his membership by the respective due date'*.

C ROBINSON

12 May 2010

THE FABER SHIELD COMMITTEE

NOTICE OF MOTION

**DATE BY WHICH ALL FIXTURES MUST BE COMPLETED
AND PENALTIES FOR UNPLAYED FIXTURES**

During the 2009-10 season a number of matches in the John Smith's 5's x 3's league were postponed. All but one were played as double headers with a later scheduled fixture of the respective teams.

One match was carried over from the opening day of the season until two days before presentation night thus delaying completion of the final league table and which could have determined the championship.

Matches were also postponed in the Carlsberg UK snooker league due to weather conditions and in the Faber Shield billiards league, but all were completed by the last day of the respective competitions.

It is proposed that a clause should be added to Page5 PENALTIES '*All matches must be completed by the last day of the respective league competition. If any matches are still outstanding the non-offending team will be awarded the full match points equivalent to a whitewash*'.

If agreed the proposal would apply from the start of the 2010-11 season.

C ROBINSON

12 May 2010

THE YORK FABER SHIELD COMMITTEE

NOTICE OF MOTION

PLAYING FOR BOTH 'A' & 'B' TEAMS ON THE SAME EVENING

During the 2009-10 season a player turned out for both the 'A' and 'B' teams in the Carlsberg UK snooker league on the same evening and this gave him an unfair advantage in the Willsden Steel 'Player of the Year' competition.

At a meeting on the 27th November the Committee ruled that this was unacceptable and one of the games was awarded as a walk-over to his opponent.

It is proposed that a clause should be entered on Page 6 of the Rules, under **RESTRICTIONS**, stating that *'A member cannot play for both A and B teams in the Carlsberg UK snooker league on the same evening'*.

If agreed the proposal will apply from the start of the 2010-11 season.

C ROBINSON

12 May 2010

THE YORK FABER SHIELD

NOTICE OF MOTION

**MAXIMUM NUMBER OF GAMES BY A MEMBER IN
A SEASON IN THE CARLSBERG UK LEAGUE**

The entry of Malton into the Carlsberg UK snooker league has meant that each team has a free week.

As a result a 'B' team player could play for the 'A' team in his free week if this is early in the season and before he is tied to the 'B' team

This would give him an unfair advantage in the Willsden Steel 'player of the Year' competition and the 'A' team an unfair advantage on other 'A' teams when their 'B' team has a free week later in the season.

It is proposed that the current opportunity for a recognised 'A' or 'B' member to play for either team is withdrawn and that the clause under Page6 RESTRICTIONS be amended accordingly and to restrict players to a maximum number of games per season equivalent to the number that a team would play.

C ROBINSON

12 May 2010

**NOTICE OF MOTION FOR AGM:
RE: FABER SHIELD BILLIARDS – CHANGE OF FORMAT**

In recent years there have been many proposals and much debate at AGMs over whether there is a need to reduce the numbers of players required in a Faber Shield Billiards team. Fulford have in the past opposed this move predominantly because such proposals have included the abolishing of the aggregate point which we believe adds an exciting dimension to the match.

However, we are becoming increasingly concerned about the future of the Faber Shield Billiards competition and so believe the time for change has come. It is imperative that we preserve this competition – after all it is the heritage from which all of our current completions have been born.

In order to make it easier to continue the league in the future, we wish to propose that the change to a 5 man team be implemented. As we would be sad to see the demise of the aggregate point – and in order to avoid the possibility of drawn matches – we would also like to see the introduction of an additional bonus point for the most games won in a match.

We believe this is fairer than the alternatives of awarding two points for the aggregate point or simply abolishing the aggregate point altogether. Our reasoning for this is that it is not always the team that wins the most games that wins the aggregate point.

Proposal

It is proposed that the number of players in a billiards team be reduced from 6 to 5 players. Additionally we propose the introduction of a "win bonus point" for the team which wins the most games in a match.

This would mean a league game is still contested for a total of 7 points – 1 point for each of the 5 games played, a win bonus point and an aggregate point.

This change is to be implemented, if agreed, from the season 2010-11 onwards.

**Richard Stroughair (on behalf of Fulford Billiards Team)
7th June 2010**